

Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,418	08/24/2001	Daniel J. Dove	10015055-1 4205	
7	590 12/21/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			HSU, ALPUS	
P.O. Box 2724			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/939,418	DOVE, DANIEL J.				
Office Action S	Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alpus H. Hsu	2665				
The MAILING DATE o	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c		dress			
Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER,  - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the NO period for reply is specified about a failure to reply within the set or extensions.	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period w ided period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	inication(s) filed on <u>12 De</u>	ecember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pe	ending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are re							
7) Claim(s) is/are	<del>.</del>						
8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
·							
9) The specification is obj			· 				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		on is required if the drawing(s) is obj		ER 1 121/d)			
		aminer. Note the attached Office					
		armior. Note the attached office		102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c)		have been as 2 - 1					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	The second second that receive					
Attachment(s)		F2003					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-i</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(		5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/04</u> .		6)					

Art Unit: 2665

- 1. The affidavits filed on December 12, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the LO reference. Therefore, the finality of the rejection of the last Office is hereby withdrawn by the examiner.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed "apparatus", "media access controller", "physical layer device", "gigabit media independent interface", "ten bit interface", and "means for multiplexing" as in claim 1, "means for controlling" as in claim 4, "media interface", "media access controller", and "physical layer device" as in claim 8, "media access controller", "physical layer device", "gigabit media independent interface", and "ten bit interface" as in claim 13, all must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Art Unit: 2665

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 2-3, it is unclear as to what is intended to be the claim limitation for reciting "whereby the standards of IEEE 802 are complied". That is, it is unclear as to what parts or portions of the standards of IEEE 802 will be considered as the intended claim limitation.

Lines 3-4, "the gigabit media independent interface", and "the ten bit interface", each lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 8, line 2, it is unclear as to what is intended to be the claim limitation for reciting "complies with the standards of IEEE 802". That is, it is unclear as to what parts or portions of the standards of IEEE 802 will be considered as the intended claim limitation. Line 3, "the ten bit interface operation" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 12, line 1, it is improper for reciting "Apparatus" in the preamble since it is the media interface claimed in claim 8 which the claim depends on. It is also unclear as to what "CRS" and "COL" stands for.

In claim 13, line 2, it is unclear as to what is intended to be the claim limitation for reciting "comply with the standards of IEEE 802". That is, it is unclear as to what parts or portions of the standards of IEEE 802 will be considered as the intended claim limitation. Line

3, "the gigabit media independent interface", and "the ten bit interface", each lacks antecedent basis. Line 10, "the interface" has no clear antecedent.

In addition, Claim 8 is rejected as vague and indefinite since the claim recites only a single means (i.e. media interface) and thus encompasses all possible means for performing a desired function. See Ex parte Bullock, 1907 C.D. 93; 127 O.G. 1580.

- 5. Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mills et al., Smith et al., Gibson et al., and Chadha et al. are additional cited to show the common feature of interfacing between MAC and PHY similar to the claimed invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,418

Art Unit: 2665

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2665